

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,447	09/28/2001	David Christian Lentz	CRD-0957	2148
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 02/05/2007 INSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON &	JOHNSON	HO, UYEN T		
	ON & JOHNSON PLAZA WICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	/	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0
09/966,447	LENTZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	

Belove the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	o date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	ulianaa wikh 27 CED 44 27 mwak ha	filed within hor ment	of the data of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	had notice to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further or</li> </ol>			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.		TE BOIOW),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	·				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		·					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
snowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-	•	•				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.  Other:	_	augenle	lo-				
		(Jackie) Tah-Uyen	T. Ho				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations raise new issue that would require further consideration .